



# City Council Meeting Schedule May 2021

---

City Council temporarily designated the location for regular, special and study session meetings to a virtual location until termination of the state of emergency or until rescinded. The City broadcasts City Council meetings on the City's website <https://www.go2kennewick.com/CouncilMeetingBroadcasts>.

May 4, 2021

Tuesday, 6:30 p.m.

REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

May 11, 2021

Tuesday, 6:30 p.m.

WORKSHOP MEETING (the workshop meeting will be done via Zoom and broadcast on the City's website <https://www.go2kennewick.com/CouncilMeetingBroadcasts>)

1. Hanford Communities Update
2. Fire Department Proactive Community Outreach Programs
3. WWTP Phase II Update

May 18, 2021

Tuesday, 6:30 p.m.

REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

May 25, 2021

Tuesday, 6:30 p.m.

WORKSHOP MEETING (the workshop meeting will be done via Zoom and broadcast on the City's website <https://www.go2kennewick.com/CouncilMeetingBroadcasts>)

1. Entertainment District Update
2. Kennewick Public Facilities Update
3. 2021 Sidewalk Program Update
4. Six-Year Transportation Plan Update

To assure disabled persons the opportunity to participate in or benefit from City services, please provide twenty-four (24) hour advance notice for additional arrangements to reasonably accommodate special needs.

Please be advised that all Kennewick City Council Meetings are Audio and Video Taped

**Council Workshop  
Coversheet**



Agenda Item Number	1.	Meeting Date	05/11/2021
Agenda Item Type	Reports/Plans		
Subject	Hanford Communities Update		
Ordinance/Reso #		Contract #	
Project #		Permit #	
Department	City Manager		

Info Only	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Policy Review	<input type="checkbox"/>
Policy DevMnt	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Summary**

David Reeploeg will provide Council with the Hanford Communities 2020 annual update and discuss the Hanford Communities Issue Agenda for 2021.

Through	Terri Wright May 05, 13:47:09 GMT-0700 2021	Attachments: Presentation 2021 Issue Agenda
Dept Head Approval		
City Mgr Approval	Marie Mosley May 06, 14:10:49 GMT-0700 2021	

**HANFORD  
COMMUNITIES**

**Kennewick City Council  
Annual Update**  
May 11, 2021


**David Reeploeg, *Executive Director***

# About Hanford Communities



- Formed in 1994
- Objectives:
  - Coordinate local government involvement on Hanford issues
  - Increase public awareness and involvement in Hanford cleanup issues
  - Advocate for community priorities re. Hanford
  - Provide technical and analytical resources to review, evaluate and monitor conditions and policies at Hanford





Governing Board



- Councilmember Richard Bloom (West Richland)
- Commissioner Jerome Delvin (Benton County)
- Commissioner Clint Didier (Franklin County)
- Commissioner Bob Larson (Port of Benton)
- Councilmember Zahra Roach (Pasco)
- Councilmember Bob Thompson (Richland) - Chair
- Councilmember Chuck Torelli (Kennewick)



# Administrative Board



- Jbn Amundson  
(Richland)
- Adam Fyall  
(Benton County)
- Mayor Brent Gerry  
(West Richland) – Chair
- Diahann Howard  
(Port of Benton)
- Keith Johnson  
(Franklin County)
- Marie Mbsley  
(Kennewick)
- Dave Zabell  
(Pasco)

# 2020 Changes

HC



May 2020: Transition to TRIDEC Operation




September 2020: Signed Ecology Grant with Updated Scope of Work



New Website:  
[www.HanfordCommunities.org](http://www.HanfordCommunities.org)



Communications: Social Media (Facebook/Instagram/YouTube) & Quarterly Newsletters






# 2021 Outlook



- **New Administration – DOE Changes**
  - Gov. Jennifer Granholm – Secretary
  - David Turk – Deputy Secretary
  - Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management – TBD
  - Prioritization/Approach to Hanford cleanup – TBD
- **DOE Reorganization**
  - Office of Environmental Management currently reports to Secretary





## 2021 Outlook: New Congress



- **Sen. Patty Murray**
  - Energy & Water Appropriations Subcommittee
- **Sen. Maria Cantwell**
  - Energy & Natural Resources Committee

- **Rep. Dan Newhouse**
  - Energy & Water Appropriations Subcommittee
  - Congressional Western Caucus Chair
- **Rep. Cathy McMorris Rodgers**
  - Energy & Commerce Committee (Ranking)

# 2021 Outlook: Hanford Site Contract Changes

- Two prime contract transitions complete Jan. 25
  - Mission Support Alliance (MSA) – to – Hanford Mission Integration Solutions (HMIS)
  - CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company (CHPRC) – to – Central Plateau Cleanup Company (CPCCo.)
- Tank Closure Contract award canceled – will be combined with vitrification facility operations in future solicitation



# Priorities

HC

- 2021 Issue Agenda
- High Level Waste Interpretation
- Hanford Regulatory Approach
- Direct Feed Low Activity Waste Facility (DFLAW)
- Waste Encapsulation Storage Facility (WESF)
- 324 Building (300-296 Waste Site)
- Local Business Subcontracting





HANFORD  
COMMUNITIES

**Thank You!**

David Reeploeg

[dreeploeg@hanfordcommunities.org](mailto:dreeploeg@hanfordcommunities.org)

[www.hanfordcommunities.org](http://www.hanfordcommunities.org) / @HanfordCommunities

---

## Hanford Communities Issue Agenda for 2021

### ***Sustained Progress on Environmental Cleanup***

*Tangible progress is being made to clean up the Hanford Site and meet the requirements of the Tri-Party Agreement and Consent Decree.*

*Adequate funding must be budgeted to keep the progress on track and not continue to drive lifecycle costs higher.*

### ***Community Vision***

*The people of the Tri-Cities region have risen to meet some of our nation's highest priority needs, and we are now ready to take on the challenges of the future. We request DOE's continued partnership as we seek to leverage the highly-skilled workforce and federal assets that our region possesses to leave a legacy of economic prosperity for all the citizens we serve.*

## **Priority Issues: Progress on HLW Interpretation, and a Regulatory Environment that Enables Cleanup**

### **Section I. Top Priorities**

**High Level Waste (HLW) Interpretation:** The Hanford Communities is encouraged by a recent DOE report which indicates that up to \$210 billion could be saved, and the timeline to complete tank waste treatment could be reduced by 10 years if the revised HLW interpretation is applied at the Hanford Site. This science-based approach would allow DOE to manage and treat waste according to its physical characteristics and risk, rather than simply based on where it originated. If applied at Hanford, this process would open up disposal options other than a deep geologic repository, along with opportunities to permanently ship waste out of Washington state. DOE should continue working with its regulators, the Tri-City community and regional tribes to further pursue this approach.

**Regulatory Environment:** The Hanford Communities is very concerned that the current regulatory environment delays rather than enables cleanup progress at Hanford, which ultimately serves to prolong risks to the Tri-City community. DOE and its regulators should emulate successful cleanup efforts elsewhere in the DOE complex where alignment was reached on cleanup priorities, and the appropriate use of regulatory discretion was applied, in order to expedite cleanup progress.

**Movement of Cesium/Strontium Capsules to Dry Storage:** The Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility (WESF) in the Central Plateau holds 1,936 capsules of cesium and strontium that were removed from tank waste and stored underwater. These capsules represent approximately one-third of the total amount of curies at the Hanford Site. WESF was not built for permanent storage of cesium and strontium and the facility presents a significant risk and long-term mortgage cost. The Hanford Communities support the ongoing effort to move the capsules to dry interim storage as soon as possible.

**Tank Farm Operations:** Funding for tank farm operations must be adequate to prepare for waste treatment and ensure maintenance of the aging infrastructure in a safe configuration while waste is removed from tanks. Many of the tanks are well beyond their design life, and many single shell tanks plus at least one double shell tank are known to have leaked. Elimination, or at least reduction of risks must continue to be a top priority.

DOE and its regulators must also proceed with closing tanks with grout, this will prevent tank collapses and intruder possibilities. The Hanford Communities support adding Washington to the list of states that can use the Sec. 3116 provision to close tanks. Adequate funding is essential to support tank farm upgrades as necessary to protect the workforce and reduce the potential for vapor exposures.

---

**Groundwater Remediation:** Great progress had been made in recent years on groundwater remediation with the completion of pump and treat facilities. However, groundwater remediation is far from complete, and these efforts must be continued across the Hanford Site. In addition, the existing systems should be optimized to reach their full capacity and be able to respond to emerging conditions in the tank farms or elsewhere in the Central Plateau. This is essential to ensure the protection of the Columbia River and prevent the migration of contamination from the Central Plateau to the River Corridor.

**Sustained Cleanup Progress:** The Hanford Communities believe that cleanup of the Hanford site should be risk based and reflect the community's concerns and priorities. It should also be cost-effective and based on compliance with cleanup regulations utilizing the best available science, state of the art processes and technologies. To sustain congressional support for cleanup funding, the Department of Energy's Richland Operations Office (RL) and the Office of River Protection (ORP) must continue to demonstrate that taxpayer dollars are effectively managed, produce the desired results, comply with Tri-Party Agreement (TPA) requirements and other legal commitments.

**FY 2022 Hanford Budget Request:** While recognizing that there are budgetary realities, the Hanford Communities supports funding for the Hanford cleanup that adequately funds community cleanup priorities.

The TPA and Consent Decree require that DOE request adequate funding to meet legal requirements in the President's budget. Life-cycle cost analysis should also be provided to communicate the impacts of delaying cleanup work due to less than required funding levels. The Hanford Communities will work with the Energy Communities Alliance and others to request and promote sustained funding levels necessary to meet Environmental Management program commitments. Both DOE-RL and DOE-ORP should receive adequate funding to continue key cleanup activities. All parties need to recognize that the budget request must be realistic and achievable.

Hanford lifecycle cleanup cost estimates released in 2019 indicate that it could require over \$11 billion a year for 60 years to complete cleanup as presently envisioned. Funding at that level is not feasible so it will be necessary for DOE, EPA and the State of Washington to identify new strategies that will maximize cleanup progress at realistically achievable funding levels.

Given these funding realities, the Tri-Party Agencies should actively pursue promising new technologies and cleanup options which have the potential to expedite cleanup and reduce costs while meeting realistically achievable cleanup goals. Additionally, adequate funding for startup and commissioning of the Direct Feed Low Activity Waste Facility (DFLAW) is essential, and funding for technologies to pretreat and grout tank waste for shipment to appropriate licensed facilities out of state should be supported.

**Tank Waste Treatment:** The Hanford Communities believe that removing waste from the tanks, stabilizing and immobilizing the material, and putting it into safe storage to reduce risk must continue to be a high priority for the cleanup effort. The tanks are aged and there is a possibility that there could be another leak in a Double Shell Tank (DST) that could significantly impact available tank space. It is also imperative that the Tank Farms are prepared to safely and reliably deliver waste feed to the WTP. Great progress is being made at WTP with commissioning and the transition to start-up of the Direct Feed Low Activity Waste Facility (DFLAW) and we support initiatives such as the Tank-Side Cesium Removal System (TSCR) will aid in addressing the tank waste issues and prevent unnecessary spending to construct new tanks.

**River Corridor:** The Hanford Communities believe it is critical for DOE to remediate the radiological waste site underneath the 324 Building as quickly as possible given its close proximity to the Columbia River and the City of Richland. Continued efforts to monitor and remediate contaminant plumes must also be a priority.

**Plutonium Finishing Plant:** Completion of the Plutonium Finishing Plant demolition has been a high priority for the Hanford Communities for many years. We support the completion of stabilization of the site and adjacent structures and continued remediation efforts in the area.

---

**Legacy Facilities/High Risk Mitigation:** Subsequent to the PUREX tunnel collapse additional high risk situations have been identified as requiring mitigation. The Hanford Communities believe it is critical for DOE to continue to proactively address the highest risks across the Hanford Site.

**Tri-Party Agreement (TPA):** Much of the Hanford cleanup strategy falls under the "Tri-Party Agreement" between DOE, EPA and the State of Washington's Department of Ecology. The Hanford Communities strongly support the intention of the Tri-Party Agreement including changes and amendments, as appropriate, to incorporate such issues as improved technology developments, better scientific understanding and options for efficiencies.

The Tri-Party Agreement was entered into by the parties in May of 1989. There have been six amendments and numerous modifications over the past thirty-one years. The Hanford Communities are concerned that the structure of the Agreement may have outlasted its usefulness in moving toward the shared goal of cleanup and removal of waste from the Site. Strict adherence to the Agreement has led various parties to use its terms as a cudgel which has prevented the parties from achieving their shared goals.

Additionally, the commitments in the TPA are out of date and no longer meet the needs of local communities.. The time is right for the Tri-Parties to reexamine the milestones in the agreement, taking into consideration the current site conditions and prioritized risks the next decade, using realistic budget assumptions and achievable schedules.

**Hanford Workforce:** The Hanford workforce is aging and it is essential to recruit and train new employees. Hanford workers are well trained and experienced to perform these complex work activities but their safety must be the highest priority. In order to prepare individuals for future Hanford jobs there needs to be a concerted effort to expand STEM education from primary grades through post-secondary programs. Apprenticeships and internships should be developed in partnership with local union programs, K-12 and Community Colleges. As DOE and their contractors reach out to hire individuals from outside of this area we should assist them by providing information about the quality of life of our region and communities. Workforce should be provided back the ability to have payroll deductions that support local needs such as the United Way.

Professional and Technical local business are being impacted by DOE contracting- We support DOE in competing of contracts however we believe the current process has resulted in unintended consequences to local businesses that have been a foundation of the technical and professional workforce at Hanford. ECA and Hanford communities request that DOE does not implement this new policy at any other EM site until an analysis has been made outlining the potential short- and long-term impacts of DOE on the community at large. DOE should then implement a plan to ensure a smoother transition that will not unnecessarily jeopardize the viability of local small businesses.

**Infrastructure:** Critical infrastructure systems including water, fire protection, sewer systems, primary electrical power, and roads require ongoing maintenance to ensure safety and reliability. Additionally, the focus of work to the Central Plateau and startup of operational facilities on-site creates the need for updates and modifications of the systems to support future operations.

---

## Section II. Local Priority Issues

**Manhattan Project National Historical Park and B Reactor:** The Hanford Communities strongly supports efforts to grow and maximize the potential of the Manhattan Project National Historical Park at Hanford. In particular it is critical that the B Reactor roof be replaced, and that other facility maintenance activities and efforts to expand tour access be continued. An amendment to expand the boundary of the park at Hanford and a funding request for infrastructure improvements is also supported, as are preservation and restoration efforts for pre-Manhattan Project facilities located on the Hanford Site. It is important that these facilities, archives as well as the B Reactor be made accessible to the public through the combined efforts of the DOE and the National Parks Service.

**HAMMER Training Center:** Consistent and highly effective site-wide training requirements should apply to all contractors and subcontractors. HAMMER has repeatedly demonstrated its value to meet Hanford's comprehensive training needs. The upcoming change in operational focus will increase the need for site-wide training programs to ensure the safety and efficiency of workers on site. The Hanford Communities encourage DOE to explore opportunities to continue to enhance the facility's missions and capabilities in the future

**Economic Development and Diversification:** The Hanford Communities support transferring surplus Hanford assets, including land and excess personal property to TRIDEC/TARC to foster creation of private-sector jobs and diversification of the regional economy. We encourage TRIDEC and DOE to put in place a new agreement and procedure to replace the previous program. Our community seeks future missions in the areas of clean energy, biosciences, environmental and computational sciences, grid and cybersecurity.

**Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) Diesel:** The Hanford Communities remains concerned about plans to burn approximately 45,000 gallons of diesel per day at full operation of the WTP. A natural gas pipeline to supply the Central Plateau is a much better alternative and the EIS should be completed. DOE is also encouraged to explore opportunities to use process heat from proposed new advanced nuclear reactors (supported by DOE's Advanced Reactor Demonstration Program) to provide steam for the WTP as well. Both of these options will provide substantial environmental benefits, reduce wear and tear on regional highways, and support statewide desires to reduce carbon emissions that align with DOE's mission.

**Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILT)** In 1996, DOE entered into agreements with Benton, Franklin, and Grant counties to provide PILT payments based on historical use of the site before the lands were expropriated by the Manhattan Project. PILT funds are distributed by the counties to local entities including school districts, library districts, and hospital districts, among others, to support the provision of basic public services. A new formula for calculating Hanford PILT billings has been developed and accepted by all parties. DOE should request funding to meet the commitment in their federal budget request. The Hanford Communities support payment levels that are on-time, in-whole, and are otherwise consistent with the agreements.

**Public Information and Involvement:** In 1998, the Hanford Communities and the Washington State Department of Ecology identified the need to more fully engage the Tri-Cities metropolitan region in the Hanford cleanup. A program was developed to inform area residents and stimulate meaningful involvement. The Hanford Communities recognize that this program will continue to be a high priority during 2021. It is the goal of the Hanford Communities to renew the program and contract with the Department of Ecology for the next state fiscal year. We also encourage DOE to refocus their outreach to the residents of the region closest to, and most directly impacted by, the Hanford Site.

**Yucca Mountain:** Yucca Mountain was originally designated to receive high-level defense waste from DOE sites around the country including Hanford's high-level vitrified tank waste and spent nuclear fuel that has been packaged and stored in the Canister Storage Building. It is also intended as a repository for the commercial spent fuel which is currently being stored north of Richland near the Columbia Generating Station. Without Yucca Mountain, Hanford will become a de-facto high level waste repository. We support congressional funding efforts to complete necessary analysis and get Yucca Mountain open for business.

---

**High Level Nuclear Waste:** The Blue Ribbon Commission Recommendation to establish a new entity dedicated solely to implement the nation's high level waste management program is endorsed by the Hanford Communities. The establishment of a licensed nuclear repository and an interim storage facility in Eddy County, New Mexico, or in another community interested in hosting a site is also supported.

**Local Governments as Host Communities and Service Providers:** Although good relationships exist between local area governments and local DOE offices, ports, cities and counties adjoining DOE sites are often relegated to the subordinate role of special interest groups. It is important the DOE acknowledge that local elected officials have authority and responsibility for services used by DOE such as sewer, water, electricity, roads, law enforcement, and emergency response. Local elected officials also have regulatory authority over land use planning and economic development on land adjacent to the Hanford Site. DOE-RL, DOE-ORP and their contractors should partner with local government to make maximum use of their capabilities. DOE must also work to address traffic congestion generated by the Hanford commute, along with wear and tear of roads caused by activities at the Hanford Site.

**Emergency Management:** Whether a large brushfire, a transportation accident involving hazardous waste, or a radioactive materials incident, emergencies at Hanford directly affect our local communities and its citizens. Cooperation and coordination between DOE and local emergency responders is essential. DOE provides funding for emergency management to the State of Washington and the state passes these funds on to county emergency management agencies including Benton and Franklin counties. Funding for local emergency preparedness necessary to coordinate with Hanford has not kept pace with inflation, and costs such as PERS and health insurance continue to rise much faster than the available funding from DOE. The Hanford Communities believe that DOE should increase its funding to the Benton and Franklin Emergency Management Agencies, either through its allocation to the state or directly to the local counties.

**Use of CERCLA in place of RCRA for Nuclear Waste Remediation;** The Consortium for Risk Evaluation with Stakeholder Participation (CRESP) Omnibus report suggested that using CERCLA (Superfund) regulations in place of RCRA makes more sense for radioactive contamination. We were encouraged that state regulators and EPA have met to explore this and other opportunities to seek solutions for management and disposal of waste that reduces cost and improves schedules. We are concerned about the overly burdensome regulatory and permitting processes, and an unwillingness to exercise appropriate regulatory discretion. There are numerous examples at other sites within the DOE-EM complex where this appropriate use of regulatory discretion has led to expedited cleanup progress. Ultimately, we hope that these and other efforts will reduce unnecessary delays in making cleanup progress.

# Council Workshop Coversheet



Agenda Item Number	2.	Meeting Date	05/11/2021
Agenda Item Type	Presentation		
Subject	Fire Department Proactive Programs		
Ordinance/Reso #		Contract #	
Project #		Permit #	
Department	Fire Department		

Info Only	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Policy Review	<input type="checkbox"/>
Policy DevMnt	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Summary

2021 Fire department presentation on the two primary proactive risk reduction programs the Kennewick Fire Department will be focusing on over the next two years.

Through

Attachments: presentation

Dept Head Approval

Chad Michael  
May 05, 14:36:08 GMT-0700 2021

City Mgr Approval

Marie Mosley  
May 06, 14:30:38 GMT-0700 2021

Committed to serving the community since 1907



## KFD Proactive Programs



# Waiting for a Problem

For most of my career, I thought my primary job was to simply wait for something bad to happen, and respond to take care of it when it did.





# A Change in Perspective

- 2012 forever changed how I see my role in the fire service.
- Progressive fire departments across the country are now approaching their work differently.





# Time to Engage

- We are redoubling our efforts be proactive with a couple of critical programs here in Kennewick.
- Our goal is to prevent incidents from occurring that would have a significant impact on our community.

**TIME TO  
ENGAGE**



# Always Remembered



August 12, 2018

- Five homes were lost.
- Several hundred homes were threatened.





# A Partnership

- Keeping our community safe from wildfire is a partnership.
- Both partners need to do their role.





# A Bad Outcome Waiting to Happen

Actions taken by our homeowners before a fire occurs have a significant impact on the outcome.





# Renters Fire Safety Program

- Individuals are not even aware of the danger.
- Numerous near misses and fires and that fell into this category in 2020.





# The Goal

Launch a program designed to eliminate fires occurring in apartment complexes.





# Scope of the Outreach Effort

- What is included in this effort?
- What is not included?





# Our Approach

- What is included in this effort?
- What is not included?

**FIRE  
Safety**



**STRONG  
for YOU**



Prevention



Detection



Escape



# The Bullseye

- What is the target we are shooting for?
- Why is this so critically important?





# What Really Matters

Preserving and protecting the high quality of life for those that live, work, and recreate in the City of Kennewick.





# Questions

Any questions?



# Council Workshop Coversheet



Agenda Item Number	3.	Meeting Date	05/11/2021
Agenda Item Type	Presentation		
Subject	WWTP Phase II Upgrade		
Ordinance/Reso #		Contract #	
Project #	P1605	Permit #	
Department	Public Works		

Info Only	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Policy Review	<input type="checkbox"/>
Policy DevMnt	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Summary

Public Works staff will provide the Council with an update on the Waste Water Treatment Plant Phase II Upgrade project, to include:

- \*Florida Green treatment approach
- \*Progressive Design Build (PDB) vs standard Design/ Bid/ Build - alternative project delivery method
- \*Funding Update
- \*Schedule Update

Through

Attachments:

Presentation

Dept Head Approval

Cary Roe  
 May 06, 11:57:00 GMT-0700 2021

City Mgr Approval

Marie Mosley  
 May 06, 14:33:51 GMT-0700 2021

# ***Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Council Workshop Update***

***May 11, 2021***

***John A. Cowling, PE, Utility Services Manager***



**KENNEWICK**  
WASHINGTON

# Wastewater Treatment Biosolids Management

- Florida Green Concept
  - *On October 20<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Council adopted Resolution 20-12 authorizing the design and construction of the Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Project in accordance with the Florida Green treatment option*
  - *Staff immediately began assessing various design options best suited for the project*
    - *Traditional Design/Bid/Build*
    - *Progressive Design/Build*



Merrell Brother Biosolids Plant, Pasco County, FL




# Wastewater Treatment Biosolids Management

- Design Process:

- *Traditional Design-Bid-Build*

- *Owner contracts separately for design and construction services*
- *Bid based on complete 100% plans and specifications (no contractor involvement)*
- *Awarded to Qualified Low Bid*



- *Progressive Design Build*

- *Qualifications based selection of design build team (contractor and designer)*
  - *Not limited to the lowest qualified bidder*
- *Owner provides conceptual plans to progressive design build team*
- *Suits newer concept projects like Florida Green to target consultants/contractors with knowledge in the process to work collaboratively in the design and construction*
- *Requires approval by the State*

# Wastewater Treatment Biosolids Management

- *Design / Funding Process*
  - *Based on meetings with the Department of Commerce and Department of Ecology, Progressive Design Build was the preferred delivery method*
  - *Current SRF Loan (limited to design) will be utilized to contract with JUB Engineers to act as Owner Representative*
    - *Prepare Progressive Design Build Application for the State*
    - *Assist with SRF application for full design and construction funding via Progressive Design Build (recommended by DOE)*



# Wastewater Treatment Biosolids Management

- *Schedule*

- *Progressive Design Build Application to the State of Washington Capital Project Advisory Board – August 2021*
- *Application for full project funding through the State Revolving Fund – October 2021*
- *Qualifications Based Progressive Design Build selection in early 2022*
- *Project design and construction complete and in use in 2024*



# Questions?





# City Council Meeting Schedule June 2021

---

City Council temporarily designated the location for regular, special and study session meetings to a virtual location until termination of the state of emergency or until rescinded. The City broadcasts City Council meetings on the City's website <https://www.go2kennewick.com/CouncilMeetingBroadcasts>.

June 1, 2021

Tuesday, 6:30 p.m.

REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

June 8, 2021

Tuesday, 6:30 p.m.

WORKSHOP MEETING (the workshop meeting will be done via Zoom and broadcast on the City's website <https://www.go2kennewick.com/CouncilMeetingBroadcasts>)

1. Mid-Columbia Libraries Update
2. Current City-Wide Projects Update
3. Development Projects Update

June 15, 2021

Tuesday, 6:30 p.m.

REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

June 22, 2021

Tuesday, 6:30 p.m.

WORKSHOP MEETING (the workshop meeting will be done via Zoom and broadcast on the City's website <https://www.go2kennewick.com/CouncilMeetingBroadcasts>)

1. American Rescue Plan Act Update

June 29, 2021

Tuesday, 6:30 p.m.

NO MEETING SCHEDULED

To assure disabled persons the opportunity to participate in or benefit from City services, please provide twenty-four (24) hour advance notice for additional arrangements to reasonably accommodate special needs.

Please be advised that all Kennewick City Council Meetings are Audio and Video Taped